What The Quantum Control Can Do For Us?

Tzyh-Jong Tarn

Center for Quantum Information Science and Technology

Tsinghua University, P.R. China

Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering

Washington University, St. Louis, USA

- To extend the feedback design method to quantum domain
- To apply the result to very important quantum control problems
- To demonstrate the effectiveness of the obtained results
- To draw your attention to this new growing and challenging field



Introduction

- Elements of Quantum Mechanics
- Quantum Feedback Nonlinearization
- Disturbance Decoupling
- Conclusions and Perspectives

Early-Stage History

► 1980s Tarn Group (US)

Modeling, controllability and invertibility, nondemonition filtering

1980s Belavkin (Britain)

Filtering and stochastic control

► Late 1980s –1990s Rabitz Group (US)

Optimal control theory and Learning control in ultrafast chemical control systems

▶ Late 1980s –1990s Tannor, Kosloff and Rice (US)

Bond-selective control of chemical reactions

Opportunities for Quantum Control

- Extremely high-precision measurement
- Ultracold systems in condensed matter science
- High-intensity and short-wavelength light sources
- Ultrafast control on the motion of atoms/electrons
- Quantum engineering on the nanoscale structures
- Quantum computation, data security and encryption

From "Controlling the quantum world: the science of atoms, molecules and photons", Physics 2010, National Research Council (2007)

Laser Control of Molecules



Successful experiments have been reported to date over 150 systems in over 20 physical and chemical categories

Research Groups

- H. Rabitz (Princeton)
- T. Weinacht (Stonybrook)
- R. Levis (Temple)
- G. Gerber (Würzberg)
- M. Zanni (Wisconsin)
- L. Woeste (Berlin)
- T. Brixner (Würzberg)

Physical Implementations of Quantum Computer

- Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)
- Superconducting Josephson Junction
- Quantum Tunneling
- Ion Trap
- Quantum Dot
- Cavity QED

Nobel Prize in Physics, 2012

In the recent Nobel Prize awards 2012, Serge Haroche and David Wineland were jointly awarded the Nobel Prize for Physics for their "ground-breaking" experimental methods that enable measuring and manipulation of individual quantum systems".

Determine the position of a particle at any time,

$$x(t) \Rightarrow v(t) = \frac{dx}{dt} \Rightarrow p(t) = mv(t) \Rightarrow T = \frac{1}{2}mv^{2}(t)$$

To determine x(t), using

$$F = ma$$

For conservative system,

$$F = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \implies m \frac{d^2 x}{dt^2} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}$$

with initial conditions

Elements of Quantum Mechanics

A quantum particle is described by its wavefunction $\psi(t, x)$ determined by the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial |\psi(t,x)\rangle}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x)\right] |\psi(t,x)\rangle$$

where the ket $|\psi(t,x)\rangle$ presents the quantum state

 $|\boldsymbol{\psi}(t, \boldsymbol{x})|^2 d\boldsymbol{x} =$ Probability of finding the particle
between x and x + dx at time t

Evolution is unitary to preserve the probability

Non-Classical Features

Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle Two non-commuting observables can not be measured simultaneously accurately.

For example:
$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar \Rightarrow \Delta x \cdot \Delta p \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

where [.,.] is the commutator

NO classical trajectory can be defined for a quantum particle !

Non-Classical Features

Entanglement

tensor product + superposition

System of *n* qubits
$$H = \underbrace{\mathbf{C}^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{C}^2}_n$$
 (dim = 2^{*n*})

Entangle 250 atoms together and one can simultaneously encode more numbers than there are atoms in the known universe!

Non-Classical Features

Quantum Measurement

Wavefunction collapses instantaneously and randomly after measurement



Continuous Measurements

Significant progress in the late 1970s and 80s shows that, within the axiomatic framework of Quantum Mechanics, a consistent formulation of measurement theory was possible based on Positive Operator Value Measure (POVM) in continuous time.

Applications to

- Photon Detection
- Currently applied to Quantum Dots

Quantum feedback schemes

Measurement-based feedback

(Classical control)

Coherent feedback

(Quantum control)



Essential distinction: the control loop is quantum or not !

Given a quantum control system: $\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i} g_i(x) u_i(x)$ 1.Measurement-based feedback (classical Control) $\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i} g_{i}(x)u_{i}(x); \quad y = h(x)$ design $u_i(x) = K(h(x))$ 2.Coherent feedback (Quantum) Control) $\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i} g_i(x)u_i(x) + \sum_{i} l_i(x)v_i(x)$ adding $\sum_{i} l_i(x) v_i(x)$, then we could design $u_i(x), v_i(x)$

The First Problem: Motivations

A long-standing question in quantum control

Is there any problem that can be accomplished by quantum control, but not by classical control?

Nonlinear quantum optics on chip

Natural nonlinearity is too weak to demonstrate novel quantum optical phenomena.

Is there any way to artificially generate and enhance the desired nonlinearity?



Science **325**, 1221 (2009) Nature Photonics **3**, 346 (2009)

The aims of our work

To establish a paradigm for the first question

Classical feedback cannot generate quantum nonlinearity (see our work in Physical Review A **82**, 022101 (2010));

However, full quantum feedback can do It !

The second question will be answered in the examples shown later

Previous work in coherent feedback

General theory of linear coherent feedback

Hudson-Parthasarathy (HP) model: IEEE TAC 54, 2530 (2009)

Quantum transfer function: IEEE TAC **48**, 2107 (2003), Phys. Rev. A **81**, 023804 (2010).

Existing methods are not applicable to nonlinear coherent feedback !

The proposed feedback system



Our main result

Uncontrolled system dynamics

$$\dot{\rho} = -i[H,\rho] + L\rho L^{\dagger} - L^{\dagger} L\rho/2 - \rho L^{\dagger} L/2$$

Controlled system dynamics

$$\tilde{L}\rho\tilde{L}^{\dagger}-\tilde{L}^{\dagger}\tilde{L}\rho/2-\rho\tilde{L}^{\dagger}\tilde{L}/2$$

Feedback-induced nonlinear Hamiltonian

 $-i[H_{\rm eff},\rho]$

Decoherence induced by the input vacuum field

+
$$(N+1)D[\tilde{L}]\rho + ND[\tilde{L}^{\dagger}]\rho + [M^*(\tilde{L}\rho\tilde{L} - \tilde{L}^2\rho/2 - \rho\tilde{L}^2/2) + h.c.]$$

Decoherence induced by the quantum amplifier

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \underbrace{H}_{\text{Uncontrolled}}_{\text{Hamiltonian}} + \underbrace{\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{G_0}}_{\text{Ehanced by}} \underbrace{\left[(L+L^{\dagger})S^{\dagger}L_f - L_f^{\dagger}S(L+L^{\dagger}) \right]}_{\text{Control induced}}_{\text{nonlinear term}} + \underbrace{\sqrt{G_0}A\left[(\cos\varphi)L + i(\sin\varphi)S^{\dagger}L_f + \text{h.c.} \right]}_{\text{This term is introduced to cancel the linear term}}$$

Main difficulties for analysis

- Non-commutative quantum probability theory
- Analysis of quantum nonlinearity
- Noise is defined on the infinite-dimentional quantum Fock space
- Hard to obtain a quantum closed-form equation

Key Derivations (I)

1. Obtain the quantum stochastic diffirential equation (QSDE) of the total system including the input field and the amplifier

$$d\overline{U} = \left\{ -i\overline{H}dt - \frac{1}{2}\overline{L}^{\dagger}\overline{L}dt + dB^{\dagger}\overline{L} - \overline{L}^{\dagger}dB + \text{tr}[(\overline{S} - I)d\Lambda] \right\} \overline{U}$$

$$\bar{S} = S^2, \bar{L} = L_f + S(\sqrt{\kappa}c + L), \bar{H} = H + H_c + \frac{i}{2}\sqrt{\kappa}(L^{\dagger}c - c^{\dagger}L) + \frac{i}{2}[(L^{\dagger} + \sqrt{\kappa}c^{\dagger})S^{\dagger}L_f - L_f^{\dagger}S(L + \sqrt{\kappa}c)]$$

Quantum Ito rule

Classical Ito rule

$$dWdW^* = dW^*dW = dt$$

$$dBdB^{\dagger} = dt, \qquad dB^{\dagger}dB = 0,$$

$$dBd\Lambda = dB, \qquad d\Lambda dB = 0,$$

$$dB^{\dagger}d\Lambda = 0, \qquad d\Lambda dB^{\dagger} = dB^{\dagger},$$

$$d\Lambda d\Lambda = d\Lambda$$

Key Derivations (II)

2. Using singular perturbation method to adiabatically eliminate the degrees of freedom of the quantum amplifier

Decomposition $\overline{U} = UV_{\varepsilon}$

$$dU = -i\left\{H + \frac{i}{2}L^{\dagger}S^{\dagger}L_{f} + \left[-i\left(L^{\dagger} - L_{f}^{\dagger}S\right) - 2Ae^{i\phi}\right]a_{\varepsilon} + \text{h.c.}\right\}Udt$$

Quantum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck noise

$$a_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{\kappa_0}} [G_1(c+c^{\dagger}) + G_2(c-c^{\dagger})] + \frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa_0 - \xi_0} \int_0^t G_1(t-\tau) [SdB_{\tau}]$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} (L+S^{\dagger}L_f) d\tau] + \frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa_0 + \xi_0} \int_0^t G_2(t-\tau) [\frac{1}{2} (L+S^{\dagger}L_f) d\tau + SdB_{\tau}]$$

$$G_{1,2}(\tau) = \frac{\kappa_0 \pm \xi_0}{4\epsilon} \exp\left[-\frac{\kappa_0 \pm \xi_0 |\tau|}{2\epsilon}\right] \to \delta(\tau), \qquad \epsilon \to 0$$

Key Derivations (III)

3. Average out all the noises to obtain the master equation

$$\dot{\phi} = -i[H_{\text{eff}},\rho] + D[\tilde{L}]\rho + (N+1)D[\tilde{L}]\rho + ND[\tilde{L}^{\dagger}] + M^* (\tilde{L}\rho\tilde{L} - \tilde{L}^2\rho/2 - \rho\tilde{L}^2/2) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\rho = U\rho_0 U^{\dagger}; D[\tilde{L}]\rho = \tilde{L}\rho \tilde{L}^{\dagger} - \tilde{L}^{\dagger} \tilde{L}\rho/2 - \rho \tilde{L}^{\dagger} \tilde{L}/2$$

$$\tilde{L} = L - S^{\dagger}L_{f}; N = G_{0} - 1; M = \sqrt{(G_{0} - 1)G_{0}}$$

Extended quantum Ito rule

$$d\tilde{B}d\tilde{B}^{\dagger} = (N+1)dt, d\tilde{B}^{\dagger}d\tilde{B} = Ndt, d\tilde{B}d\tilde{B} = Mdt$$

Cannot be obtained from HP model or quantum transfer function] Detailed derivations can be found in IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr. 57, 1997 (2012).

Is it possible for experimental implementation ?

Generation of Kerr effect



Potential applications: superposed quantum state preparation, quantum non-demolition measurement...

Synthesis of high-order Hamiltonian



Applications: nonlinear quantum optics and quantum information

Construction of non-classical light



1) Highly non-classical light can be generated.

2) Sub-Poisson statistics and photon antibunching can be observed;

Open Quantum System



Unitary evolution of total system (Closed)

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{SYSTEM} + \hat{H}_{ENVIRONMENT} + \hat{H}_{INTERACTION}$$

What is Decoherence ?



- Loss of quantum superposition
- Extremely quick for macroscopic systems
- Quantum analog of damping / friction

Control System Model

Composite-system Schrödinger Equation



Decoherence is NOT Classical Noise

classical noise

Consider the classical system

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + \sum_{i} u_{i}(t)g_{i}(x) + w(t)p(x)$$

- Dimensionality of the system remains the same with the ADDTION of classical noise.
- Dimensionality of the quantum system changes from dim H_s to dim H_s x dim H_E
- Leads to entanglement and loss of information

The Second Problem:

Disturbance Decoupling

Coherent Quantum Feedback Rejection of Non-Markovian Noises

Motivations

Why quantum information revolution has not come?
 Decoherence



How to utilize coherent feedback scheme to suppress non-Markovian decoherence ?



Model Non-Markovian Open Quantum Systems for Coherent Feedback;

Investigate Mechanism of Non-Markovian Noises Rejection via Coherent Feedback.

Backgrounds (I)

NM Decoherence Control

- > Dynamical Decoupling Approach
- > Optimization Method

Disadvantage: high energy cost; heavy computation burden.



Backgrounds (II)

Quantum Feedback Control (QFC)



Existing models are not applicable to non-Markovian open quantum systems!

Our Scheme







Every good regulator incorporates a model of the outside world!

Main Difficulties

Infinite Dimensional System

Integral-differential Equation (Memory Effect)

Colored Noises

Phys. Rev. A 86, 052304 (2012). http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.052304.

Modeling of Coherent Feedback

Total Hamiltonian and Bagram

 $H = H_S + H_B + H_C + H_{SB} + H_{SC} + H_{BC}$



Modeling of Coherent Feedback

NM Quantum Langevin Equation:

$$\dot{a}(t) = -i\omega_a \underbrace{a(t)}_{\text{System}} - \int_0^t d\tau \underbrace{G(t-\tau)}_{\text{Memory Kernel}} a(\tau) - i \underbrace{b_n(t)}_{\text{Equivalent}}$$
Operator Function Noise
which can be solved as
$$a(t) = u(t)a(0) + \int_0^t d\tau u(t-\tau) b_n(\tau)$$
where Green function $u(t)$
satisfies

$$\dot{u}(t) = -i\omega_a u(t) - \int_0^t d\tau G(t-\tau)u(\tau)$$
, $u(0) = 1$

NM Decoherence Suppression

Memory Kernel Function without Feedback

$$G(au) = \int_{\Omega} rac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} J(\omega) e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega au}$$

where $J(\omega) = 2\pi \varrho(\omega)(|V_B(\omega)|^2 + |V_C(\omega)|^2)$



Physically, decoherence is caused by the resonance between the system's working frequency and the noise spectrum.

NM Decoherence Suppression

Memory Kernel Function with Feedback

$$G(\tau) = \int_{\omega_L + r}^{\omega_U + r} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} J_+(\omega - r) e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega\tau} + \int_{\omega_L - r}^{\omega_U - r} \frac{\mathrm{d}\omega}{2\pi} J_-(\omega + r) e^{-\mathrm{i}\omega\tau}$$

where $J_{\pm}(\omega) = \pi \varrho(\omega) |V_B(\omega) \pm V_C(\omega) e^{-\mathrm{i}\theta}|^2$



The effectiveness of decoherence suppression depends on how close the working frequency is to the nearest edge of the noise free band

Example of Photonic Crystals



Example of Photonic Crystals

Results of Decoherence Suppression



The variation of the spectrum and the dynamics of |u(t)|under various feedback parameters $r, \eta = 0.3, \theta = \pi/4$

Conclusions

Main contributions

1) Quantum feedback nonlinearization (QFN): different from the

traditional feedback linearization in classical control !

- 2) A new non-Markovian coherent feedback model (no one discussed before)
- 3) QFN can achieve more than the traditional physical design.

Open up new dimensions of research

- 1) Systematic design of coherent feedback control;
- 2) Frequency domain synthesis and design methods for quantum control;
- 3) Applications to quantum optics and quantum information.
- 4) Applications to solid-state-based quantum information processing.

Acknowledgements

Center for Quantum Information Science and Technology, Tsinghua University



•RB. Wu <i>,</i>	•YX. Liu
• Shi-Bei Xue	•CW. Li
•J. Zhang	•GL. Long

Department of Systems Science and Mathematics, Washington University in St. Louis



- •D. Ilic
- •C.K. Ong
- •C.-H. Lan
- •D. Lucarelli

- •N. Ganesan
- •Peilan Liu
- •John Clark
- •Q.-S. Chi

Acknowledgements



Special thanks to Dr. Narayan Ganesan

Select References:

•N. Ganesan, "Control of Decoherence in Open Quantum Systems Using Feedback", Doctor of Science Dissertation, Washington University in St. Louis, USA, Dec 2006.

•N. Ganesan, T. J. Tarn, "Decoherence Control in Open Quantum Systems via Classical Feedback", Physical Review A.032323



